

CR-964-2018 (O&M)

211

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-964-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 03.04.2019

Meena Sharma

... Petitioner

Versus

Paramjit Singh

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Shivender Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

None for the respondent.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner-plaintiff is aggrieved of the order dated 15.12.2017 (Annexure P-1), whereby, her evidence has been closed.

Mr. Shivender Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in case, two effective opportunities are granted, the petitioner-plaintiff will conclude the entire evidence, subject to any terms and conditions which this Court deem fit.

There is no representation on behalf of the respondent despite service.

I have heard the counsel for the petitioner-plaintiff, appraised the paper book and of the view that no doubt, the petitioner-plaintiff was negligent in concluding her evidence, however, in order to advance justice

CR-964-2018 (O&M)

and prevent miscarriage of justice, I deem it appropriate to grant two effective opportunities to the petitioner to conclude the evidence.

Keeping in view the aforementioned observations, the impugned order dated 15.12.2017 is set aside and the petitioner-plaintiff shall conclude the evidence, in accordance with law, subject to payment of costs of ₹7,000/-, to be paid to the respondent-defendant, which shall be condition precedent. The present revision petition stands allowed.

03.04.2019
Yogesh Sharma

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned **Yes/ No**

Whether Reportable **Yes/ No**