

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.36361 of 2019
Date of decision: 16.12.2019

Dr. Ajay SherPetitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

Although prayer in the writ petition is for quashing of the Departmental Enquiry Annexure P-10 dated 26.11.2019 whereby the petitioner has been summoned by respondent No.5, learned counsel states that the petitioner has filed representation Annexure P-8 dated 06.10.2019 and would be satisfied, if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation Annexure P-8 dated 06.10.2019 in accordance with law in a time bound manner.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and states that he has no objection to the limited prayer of the petitioner for directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation Annexure P-8 dated 06.10.2019 .

4. Without commenting on the merits of the claim or the entitlement of the petitioner to the relief claimed, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation Annexure P-8 dated 06.10.2019 in accordance with law after taking into account all aspects of the matter within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

December 16, 2019
ps

(B.S. WALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No