

CWP No. 36095 of 2019 (O&M)**Sunil Kumar Das & Anr.****Vs.****Union of India & Ors.**

Present : Mr. Manpreet S. Longia, Advocate and
Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Inter alia contends that one of the pre-requisite as per advertisement for the post of Junior Engineer Assistant Grade-II (Instrumentation) was Diploma in Electronic Communication. Admittedly, the petitioners who are having Diploma in Electronics Engineering, which is equivalent to the Diploma in Electronics and Communications as per the certificate issued by the State Board of Technical Education, Bihar (Annexure P-4), applied and their candidature was duly considered and on being successful they were appointed on the said post. Notwithstanding, as a bolt from blue, vide impugned notices Annexures P-12 and P-15 respectively, they have been given 72 hours notice for dispensing with their services ostensibly on the ground that they did not possess the requisite qualifications.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that not only the certificate contained at Annexure P-4 was submitted at the relevant time, but the same was duly verified by the competent authority and it is not the case where the petitioners are alleged to have indulged in any misrepresentation or fraud. Yet, for no fault of theirs, the impugned show cause notices have been issued to them.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sanjeev K. Sharma, Advocate for Mr. Arvind Sethi, Advocate
accepts notice on behalf of Union of India and seeks time to file return.

In the meanwhile, status quo qua services of the petitioner shall be maintained till next date of hearing. It is made clear that competent authority shall be at liberty to proceed further against the petitioners pursuant to the impugned show cause notices in accordance with law and granting of status quo order qua their services shall not come in the way of further departmental proceedings. However, no final decision shall be taken during subsistence of the status quo order.

December 12, 2019

Jiten

**(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE**

132

CWP No. 36079 of 2019 (O&M)

ISHWAR SINGH V/S STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

Present : Mr. Anil Kumar Rana, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

At the request of learned counsel for the petitioner, adjourned to
16.12.2019.

December 12, 2019

Jiten

**(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE**

Pardeep Singh**Vs.****Union of India & Ors.**

Present : Mr. Gurminder Singh, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Pratap Singh Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Learned Senior counsel submits that petitioner has been wrongly held ineligible for being considered on the post of Associate Professor in the Department of Laws ostensibly on the ground that he lacks the requisite experience for the relevant period he served as a contractual employee and is also not drawing emoluments equivalent to the regularly appointed Associate Professor.

Learned Senior counsel relies on University Grants Commission guidelines, 2018 contained at Annexure P-6 to contend that petitioner is fully eligible to be considered for the said post.

Notice of motion.

On advance service of copy of petition, Mr. Sunil Kumar Sharma, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2, whereas Mr. Sajjan Singh, Advocate has accepted notice on behalf of respondents No.3 to 5.

Learned counsel for respondents No.3 to 5, after obtaining instructions from his clients submits that without conceding to any of the contentions of learned Senior counsel, as noted hereinabove, the petitioner would be provisionally allowed to participate in the selection process, including provisional interview thereof.

Adjourned to 13.02.2020.

Pleadings be completed in the meanwhile.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

December 12, 2019

133
CM-17523-CWP-2019 IN
CWP No. 17344 of 2016

SURINDER SINGH
V/S
STATE OF PUNJAB THROUGH FINANCIAL COMMISSIONER AND
ORS

Present : Mr. Mehar Deep Singh, AAG Punjab
for the applicant.

At the request of learned State counsel, adjourned to 16.12.2019.

December 12, 2019

Jiten

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

CWP No. 25067 of 2015(O&M)

Husan Lal Vs. Panjab University & Ors.

Present : Mr. R.S. Chahal, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

 Mr. Indresh Goel, Advocate
 for the respondent.

 At the request of learned counsel for the petitioner, adjourned to

20.03.2020.

December 12, 2019

Jiten

**(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE**

201
RA-CW-231 of 2019 IN
CWP No. 23433 of 2015

Aasra Foundation Vs. State of Punjab & Ors.

Present : Mr. A.B.S. Sidhu, Advocate
 for the applicant.

Mr. Mehar Deep Singh, AAG Punjab.

At the request of learned State counsel, adjourned to 27.04.2020.

December 12, 2019

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

Jiten

204 CWP No. 25670 of 2015(O&M)

Sanjiv Kumar Taggar Vs. Union of India & Ors.

Present : Mr. K.K. Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Malhotra, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Ashish Bansal, Advocate points out that learned counsel for
contesting respondents No.2 and 3 have since been elevated to Bench of this
Court.

In the premise, Registry is directed to issue fresh notice to
respondents No.2 and 3, returnable for 20.03.2020.

December 12, 2019

Jiten

**(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE**

CWP No. 13216 of 2016(O&M)

Khyali Ram Vs. Union of India & Ors.

Present : Mr. Rajeev Anand, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

 Mr. R.S. Longia, Advocate
 for the respondents.

 At the request of learned counsel for the respondents, adjourned to

20.03.2020.

December 12, 2019

Jiten

**(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE**

CWP No. 19420 of 2016(O&M)

Kishori Lal Vs. **U.T. Chandigarh & Ors.**

Present : Mr. K.K. Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Toor, Advocate
for respondents No.1 to 4.

Mr. Puneet Gupta, Advocate
for respondents No.5 to 12.

CM-17190 of 2019

Application is allowed and Annexures P-38 to P-46 are taken on record.

CWP No. 19420 of 2016

At the request of learned counsel for respondents No.5 to 12, adjourned to 04.02.2020, to enable him to go through the newly placed documents.

December 12, 2019

Jiten

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

CWP No. 25141 of 2016(O&M)

Lal Chand Vs. National Fertilizers Limited & Ors.

Present : None.

At the written request circulated on behalf of learned counsel for respondents, adjourned to 23.03.2020.

December 12, 2019

Jiten

**(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE**

CWP No. 26950 of 2019(O&M)

Neha Bhatia Vs. State of Punjab & Ors.

Present : Mr. A.P. S. Sandhu, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehar Deep Singh, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Ravi Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.8.

Despite interim directions in favour of the petitioner, more time is
being sought by learned State counsel to file reply.

Adjourned to 16.01.2020.

Interim order to continue.

December 12, 2019

Jiten

**(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE**

CWP No. 25559 of 2019(O&M)

David Masih Vs. State of Punjab & Ors.

Present : Mr. Puneet Kumar Bansal, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehar Deep Singh, AAG Punjab.

Learned State counsel has handed over copy of reply to learned counsel for the petitioner during the course of hearing. The same be filed in the Registry.

Adjourned to 16.01.2020.

Replication, if any, be filed in the meanwhile.

December 12, 2019

Jiten

**(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE**

CWP No. 2033 of 2017(O&M)

Pushpa Devi Vs. Union of India & Ors.

Present : Mr. Sandeep Verma,
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Chawla, Advocate
for respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks time to file replication.

Adjourned to 23.03.2020.

December 12, 2019

Jiten

**(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCp-2089 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : 12.12.2019**

Satnam Singh

... Petitioner

versus

Suresh Kumar Kureel

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Singh, Advocate
for respondents NO.2 and 3.

ARUN MONGA, J(ORAL)

Since the main petition wherein order dated 30.03.2016 was passed, which was complained to be disobeyed, has already been withdrawn by the petitioner, no further adjudication is required in the instant contempt petition.

Disposed of.

12.12.2019

Jiten

**(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|---------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/ reasoned: | Yes/ No |
| 2. | Whether reportable: | Yes/ No |

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-25605 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : 12.12.2019**

Kuldeep Singh

... Petitioner

versus

Union of India & Ors.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Gehna Vaishnavi, Advocate
for respondents.

ARUN MONGA, J(ORAL)

In terms of order dated 21.02.2019 passed in bunch of petitions,
including CWP No. 25618 of 2017, instant petition stands dismissed.

12.12.2019

Jiten

**(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|---------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/ reasoned: | Yes/ No |
| 2. | Whether reportable: | Yes/ No |

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-24560 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : 12.12.2019**

Amrik Singh & Ors.

... Petitioners

versus

Union of India & Ors.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. V.K. Kaushal, Advocate
for respondents.

ARUN MONGA, J(ORAL)

In terms of order dated 21.02.2019 passed in bunch of petitions,
including CWP No. 25618 of 2017, instant petition stands dismissed.

12.12.2019

Jiten

**(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|---------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/ reasoned: | Yes/ No |
| 2. | Whether reportable: | Yes/ No |

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-7694 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of decision : 12.12.2019**

Saroj Kumar

... Petitioner

versus

Food Corporation of India & Ors.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Garg, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Priyanka Vij, Advocate
for respondents No.1 to 5.

ARUN MONGA, J(ORAL)

None appeared for the petitioner on the last date of hearing. Same is position today.

Learned Senior counsel for respondents-FCI submits that present writ petition has been rendered infructuous in view of the petitioner having been convicted during pendency of the writ petition.

The prayer of the petitioner herein was to seek stay on the departmental proceedings on the ground that on the same set of allegations, criminal proceedings are simultaneously going on against him.

Be that as it may, since criminal proceedings have already culminated and pursuant thereto the petitioner has been confined to jail, as per submission of learned Senior counsel, on instructions of assisting counsel, the writ petition is accordingly disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

12.12.2019

Jiten

**(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned:

Yes/ No

Whether reportable:

Yes/ No

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-36111 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of decision : 12.12.2019**

Ravi Shankar & Ors.

... Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab & Anr.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: Mr. M.K. Dogra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

ARUN MONGA, J(ORAL)

1. The petitioners herein, working as Radiographers/ Senior Radiographers, *inter alia*, pray for according them pay scales equivalent to Pharmacists and Chief Pharmacists, working in the same department, with effect from 01.12.2011.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite recommendations of Punjab Pay Commission to grant same pay scales to Radiographers as has been accorded to Pharmacists, the respondents have not implemented the same. Representation dated 12.02.2019(Annexure P-8) has also not been responded by the respondents till date.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On advance service, Mr. Mehar Deep Singh, Addl. AG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

5. Given the nature of order being passed, no further proceedings or pleadings are required.

6. Without commenting on the merits of case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to pass a speaking order by objectively considering the contentions as raised in the representation dated

by treating the same as supplementary representation as also keeping in view the contentions of learned counsel for the petitioners, as noted above, in accordance with law.

7. Let the needful be done within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

8. Disposed of.

12.12.2019

Jiten

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|---------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/ reasoned: | Yes/ No |
| 2. | Whether reportable: | Yes/ No |