

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

126

CWP No.36647 of 2019

Date of Decision : 18.12.2019

Som Nath

....Petitioner

v/s

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. S.K. Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the writ petitioner is for the issuance of a writ of mandamus for directing the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioner w.e.f. 01.10.2003 i.e. the date employees juniors to the petitioner namely Vijay Kumar, Suresh Kumar and Jai Chand were regularized in view of Instructions (Annexure P-4) dated 01.10.2003.

[2] Learned counsel contends that despite the petitioner having submitted representation (Annexure P-8) dated 18.09.2019 for the relief claimed, as is the subject matter of the writ petition, no action has been taken on the same, till date and in the circumstances, the petitioner would be satisfied, if the writ petition is disposed of at this stage by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation (Annexure P-8) dated 18.09.2019, in accordance with law, in a time bound manner.

[3] Notice of motion.

[4] Mr. Harish Rathee, learned Senior Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and states that he has no objection to the limited prayer of the petitioner.

[5] In view of the position as noted above and without commenting upon the merits of the claim or the entitlement of the petitioner to the relief claimed, the writ petition is ***disposed of*** by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation (Annexure P-8) dated 18.09.2019, in accordance with law, after taking into account all aspects of the matter within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

December 18, 2019

'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No