

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-52097 of 2018

DATE OF DECISION: JANUARY 21, 2019

KAMALDEEP BUNTY JOGI

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ.

PRESENT: MR. KARAN CHAUDHARY, ADVOCATE
FOR THE PETITIONER.

MR. HARSIMAR SIINGH SITTA, AAG, PUNJAB

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.(ORAL)

The petitioner has filed this petition for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 to 5 to save his life and liberty, as he is getting severe threats at the hands of terrorists who are continuously threatening the petitioner.

The petitioner has claimed himself to be the Vice President of Shiv Sena, Punjab and is engaged in social activities. It is pleaded that the petitioner is receiving threatening calls for the last many years and on that ground protection was granted to him for about 2 years. However, according to learned counsel for the petitioner, the said protection has been withdrawn. Learned counsel for the petitioner has referred to the alleged messages received by the petitioner from across the border through social media.

Vide order dated 27.11.2018, learned State counsel was directed

to verify the alleged threat. Pursuant to the said order, response by way of affidavit of Ravinder Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Mukerian, District Hoshiarpur has been filed, wherein it is specifically mentioned that the petitioner comments against Sikh Associations on social media and in response he also receives comments from Sikh Associations on social media. It is not disputed that previously security was provided to the petitioner. However, after verifying the threat perception, a decision was taken to withdraw the security cover of two police personnel vide letter No.206131/DDSB-4 dated 15.06.2018. It is also mentioned that there is no physical threat received by the petitioner and it is only exchange of comments with the Sikh Associations on social media.

During the course of hearing on 14.12.2018, the matter was adjourned for today. Upon a query to the learned counsel for the petitioner that as to whether the petitioner is willing to get the security on payment basis, learned counsel has submitted that the petitioner may not be able to meet the expenses for that.

Considering the stand of the State and the nature of alleged threat which is not at all specific, no case is made out for issuance of any directions.

The petition stands dismissed.

January 21, 2019
Gulati

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether Reportable : Yes/No
Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No