

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-48769 of 2018(O&M)

Date of Decision:10.01.2019

Amarjeet

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.

...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Amit Kumar Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Mohan, DAG, Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Cr.P.C.) for grant of concession of regular bail to the petitioner in case First Information Report No. 29 dated 20.02.2018, under Sections 148, 149, 285, 323, 324, 325, 326, 379-B, 307 of the Indian Penal Code (Act No. 45 of 1860) read with Sections 25, 54 and 59 of Arms Act (Act No. 54 of 1959) registered at Police Station Sadar Narwana, District Jind.

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 29.03.2018 and he is ready to compensate the injured.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the paper book.

Perusal of the FIR clearly reveals that the petitioner has given

Gandasa blow (being a sharp edged weapon) on the head of the injured

(Sunil) and the same was recovered from him during investigation. Since the charges have already been framed under Section 307 IPC alongwith other ancillary offences. Considering the seriousness of the allegations, this Court does not deem it appropriate to grant the concession of bail pending trial to the petitioner at this stage. Thus, the petition stands dismissed.

The above observations, may not be construed as an expression of opinion on merits of the case.

[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE

January 10, 2019
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned	yes/no
Whether reportable?	yes/no