

116 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.35934 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of decision : December 11, 2019

Piara Lal and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Ms. Sonia G. Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the petitioners are challenging the orders dated 15.03.2017 & 25.04.2017 (Annexure P-11) by which claim of the petitioners for the grant of 3rd time bound promotional increment on completion of 23 years service, has been rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that though the claim of the petitioners was rejected in March/April, 2017 but subsequently the respondents have issued a Clarification in respect of the grant of the said benefit on 21.08.2018 as well as on 01.10.2018. Therefore, in view of the Clarifications, the case of the petitioners is now squarely covered in their favour for the grant of the said benefit. Learned counsel further states that a bunch of writ petitions, wherein also the same prayer was made i.e. for the grant of promotional increment after completing 23 years of service, came for consideration before the Co-ordinate Bench and the said bunch of writ petitions was decided on 29.11.2018. The order was passed in ***CWP No. 1013 of 2017 titled as 'Balvir Singh Vs. PSPCL and another'*** and other connected cases.

Learned counsel for the petitioners prays that ignoring the earlier rejection of the case of the petitioners on 15.03.2017 & 25.04.2017 (Annexure P-11) and in view of the subsequent Resolutions passed by the respondent-corporation as well as the order passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in ***Balvir Singh's case (supra), CWP No. 1013 of 2017***, the case of the petitioner needs to be re-considered.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harjeet Singh, Advocate, who appears on behalf of Mr. Sehajbir Singh, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-PSPCL and states that the respondent-Corporation will consider the case of the petitioners afresh in view of the subsequent event and will pass a fresh order in respect of the claim of the petitioner for the grant of promotional increment after rendering 23 years of service.

Learned counsel for the parties are agreed that the present writ petition can be disposed in terms of order passed in ***Balvir Singh's case (supra), CWP No. 1013 of 2017***.

Keeping in view the prayer made by the parties, the present writ petition is also disposed of in terms of order passed by a Co-ordinate Bench in ***Balvir Singh's case (supra), CWP No. 1013 of 2017***.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

December 11, 2019

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No