

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No. M-29026 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : January 09, 2019

Parveen and others

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Fateh Deep Singh

Present : All the petitioners in person along with
Mr. Vikas Mor, Advocate, for the petitioners

Mr. Amrik Narwal, DAG Haryana for the State/
respondent no. 1

Respondent no. 2 in person along with
Mr. Vijay Dahiya, Advocate, for respondent no. 2

Fateh Deep Singh, J. (Oral)

Report dated 6.8.2018 of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rohtak has been received after recording statements of accused Parveen, Tek Ram and Sushila as well as complainant Shweta and the learned court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise is an outcome of voluntariness, free will and without any coercion or undue pressure. In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court and in view of the fact that it is a pure matrimonial dispute and compromise will go a long way in ironing out the differences and for betterment of their future life and to bring about personal harmony and more-so the offences for which the

accused have been booked are not of very serious nature and in view of the law laid down in **Gian Singh vs State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **Kulwinder Singh and others vs State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052** and in view of which the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No. 312 dated 28.7.2017 registered at Police Station Urban Estate, Rohtak District Rohtak under sections 498-A, 406, 506, 341, 377, 354-A IPC (Sections 377, 354-A IPC have been deleted later-on) and all consequences arising thereof are quashed, qua the present petitioners.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

January 09, 2019

'tiwana'

**(Fateh Deep Singh)
Judge**

Whether speaking/reasoned ?

Yes/No

Whether Reportable ?

Yes/No