

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

SMRITI
2017.12.11 15:19
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CRR-3359-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 11.12.2019

Pardeep Navetia

... Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. Rajan Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

CRM-39056-2019

For the reasons stated in the application, delay of 21 days in filing the present revision petition is condoned.

CRR-3359-2019 (O&M)

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State while on the other hand, Mr. Ashish Grover, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 and filed his vakalatnama.

The controversy pertains to the cheque worth Rs.6 lacs issued by the petitioner which has been dishonoured and he was convicted and sentenced by the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Bathinda vide judgment dated 06.03.2018.

Aggrieved against the judgment of conviction dated 06.03.2018 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Bathinda, the petitioner filed an appeal before the Additional Sessions Judge, Bathinda which has also been dismissed on 20.08.2019.

Hence the present petition.

It has been stated by learned counsel for the petitioner that the matter has been amicably settled between the parties. The demand draft bearing number 802206 dated 05.12.2019 for a sum of Rs.7 lac in the name of respondent No.2-Ram Singh has been handed over to learned counsel for respondent No.2 towards full and final settlement of his claim under the cheque in dispute. Photocopy of the demand draft is on record.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 has no objection if the present petition is accepted and both the judgments rendered by learned Courts below are set aside.

It has also been stated that the amount settled between the parties will also satisfy the claim of compensation as awarded by the learned trial Court.

In these circumstances, the present petition is accepted. The judgment rendered by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Bathinda as well as learned Additional Sessions Judge, Bathinda are set aside and the petitioner is acquitted of the charges levelled against him. He be set at liberty forthwith, if not required in any other case.

Copy of this order be given *dasti* under the signatures of the Bench Secretary of this Court.

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

11.12.2019
smriti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No