

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(128)

CWP No. 36216 of 2019

Date of Decision : 13.12.2019

Raj Paul

....Petitioner

Versus

Punjab Agro Foodgrains Corporation Limited and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Raman Goklaney, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

The claim of the petitioner in the present writ petition is that while he was in service, he had spent ₹2,15,677/- out of his own pocket for replacement of 4,000 bags in respect of segregation and up-gradation of the wheat stock for the crop year 2008-2009, which are not being refunded to him despite the fact that the District Manager has already written to the competent authority vide letter dated 18.08.2010 (Annexure P-3) for the release of the said amount to him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the District Manager has been writing to the General Manager (Procurement) of the respondent-corporation for the grant of sanction but no action is being taken by the respondents for the last more than nine years. The prayer of the petitioner is for issuance of a direction to respondent No. 2 to grant the refund of ₹2,15,677/- to him.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that for the relief,

which has been claimed in the present writ petition, petitioner has submitted a representation dated 20.08.2019 (Annexure P-7) with the respondents, which is still pending consideration with respondent No. 1 and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No. 2 to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Jasdeep Singh, Advocate, who is present in Court, appears on behalf of Mr. Dilraj Singh, Advocate and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-Corporation. He states that the respondent-Corporation has no objection in deciding the representation dated 20.08.019 (Annexure P-7) of the petitioner in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and the claim being made by the petitioner, respondent No. 2 is directed to decide the representation dated 20.08.2019 (Annexure P-7) by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case after the decision, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefit, the same shall also be released to him within a period of next three months.

Writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

December 13, 2019
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes
Whether reportable? No