

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.44674 of 2018

Date of Decision: 01.04.2019

Rinku Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Satnam Singh Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, D.A.G., Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of order dated 09.10.2018, the petitioner has joined the investigation, whereas, learned State counsel submits that there are total 11 cases against the petitioner including one under the NDPS Act. The present case is under the Excise Act.

The bail cannot be denied only on the ground that other cases are there against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody in other cases. As per submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is not in custody in other cases and this fact has not been disputed.

In view of the above, the interim order dated 09.10.2018 passed in favour of the petitioner is made absolute.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

01.04.2019

gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No