

CRM-M-54660-2018

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-54660-2018

Date of Decision: 15.01.2019

Anil Johar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Viney Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Amandeep Rana, Advocate,
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has filed this second petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for brevity, 'Cr.P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.301 dated 28.12.2017, registered at Police Station Mullana, District Ambala, under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468 and 471 of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion was issued in this case. Learned State counsel has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.1-State and complainant has also appeared through his counsel. They contested this petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned

CRM-M-54660-2018

From the record, I find that first petition of the petitioner for anticipatory bail has been dismissed by this Court vide order dated 29.08.2018. It is mentioned in the order dated 29.08.2018 that the petitioner is required for custodial interrogation and no ground is made out for granting anticipatory bail to him. Now, second petition for anticipatory bail has been filed on the basis of compromise with complainant-respondent No.2.

Learned counsel for complainant-respondent No.2 has appeared and stated that there is no compromise with the complainant.

Keeping in view the above facts and in view of the statement made by learned counsel for complainant-respondent No.2 that there is no compromise with the complainant, I find that the petitioner is required for custodial interrogation and no ground is made out to grant him the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Therefore, finding no merit in the present petition, the same is dismissed.

15.01.2019
parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
	Whether reportable	:	No