

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.7357 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: March 13, 2019

Manju Aggarwal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rajesh K. Sheoran, Advocate for respondent No.4.

Ms. Manju Goyal, Advocate for

Mr. Sumeet Goel, Advocate for respondent No.5.

Rajan Gupta, J.(oral)

Petitioner has impugned order dated 13.09.2011, passed by
District Manager, HAFED, Yamuna Nagar. Same reads as under:-

“As your goodself is well aware that M/s Hanuman Rice Mill, Mustfabad fails to maintain the terms & conditions of agreement signed in between the miller & Hafed during KMS-2009 and an arbitration proceeding is going on against the miller. So as per clause No.13 of the agreement the miller alongwith its proprietor and campus on which M/s Hanuman Rice Mill, Mustfabad is situated has become as default in question. So no paddy should be allotted to the said premises under any other name during current KMS 2011-12.”

According to learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner
has been blacklisted for all times to come. This order is against law.

This court is not convinced with the plea. A perusal of the aforesaid order shows that there is no observation regarding blacklisting of the petitioner. The prayer is, thus, misconceived. Petition is hereby dismissed.

This court, however, hopes and trust that in case any arbitration proceedings are pending between the parties, same be concluded expeditiously. In case any cause of action survives, petitioner shall be at liberty to make a representation to the respondent HAFED.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

March 13, 2019
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No