

227

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP-3672-2018
Date of decision: 01.10.2019

VAJINDER

.. PETITIONER

VERSUS

ADITYA DAHIYA DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, KARNAL

.. RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Sukhdeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl. AG. Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner filed a writ petition for issuance of direction to Deputy Commissioner, Karnal to consider the representation dated 05.06.2017. The grievance was that an inquiry report was submitted by the Block Development Panchayat Officer, Indri on 22.08.2017 holding that Sarpanch is liable to make payment of Rs. 96,623/- but no action was taken. The writ petition was disposed of with the direction to Deputy Commissioner, Karnal to look into the representation of the petitioner and take required action thereon as per law preferably within two months.

The contempt petition is filed pleading that needful has not been done.

Pursuant to notice of motion, reply dated 08/10.01.2019 was filed annexing various documents.

Learned State counsel submits that after inquiry, a show cause notice was issued to the Sarpanch for taking necessary action but the said show cause notice has been stayed by the Civil Judge (Senior Division) Indri.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the said development, no cause of action survives for pursuing the contempt petition. He submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the contempt petition, at this stage, with liberty to file afresh, in case, cause of action survives after the decision of civil suit.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

Rule issued against the respondent is discharged.

1st October, 2019
shabha

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*