

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.35792 of 2019

Date of Decision : 16.12.2019.

Shakuntala

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S.Walia

Present: Mr. Rajinder Nain, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner was appointed as daily wager labourer in the year 1990 and his services were terminated in the year 1999 but vide award Annexure P/1 dated 22.05.2003, the petitioner was ordered to be reinstated in service with continuity of service and 50% back wages, whereafter, a number of daily wagers appointed later than the petitioner were regularized vide order Annexure P/4 dated 14.10.2006. However, the claim of the petitioner for regularization was neither considered nor decided despite the petitioner having served legal notice Annexure P/6 dated 22.03.2019. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of at this stage by directing respondent No.3 to consider and decide the claim as made in legal notice Annexure P/6 dated 22.03.2019 in accordance with law in a time bound manner.

2. Notice of motion. Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG accepts notice and states that he has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. Without commenting on the merits of the claim or the entitlement of the petitioner to the relief claimed, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.3 to consider and decide the claim as made in legal notice Annexure P/6 dated 22.03.2019, in accordance with law, after taking into account all aspects of the matter, within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE

16.12.2019.

rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No