

218, 221 & 232 (bunch matter)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP-3294-2018

Date of Decision: May 16, 2019

M/s R K Govt. Contractor through its sole proprietor Ram Krishan
.....Petitioner

Versus

Sarvjit Singh and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS.JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAUR

Present: Mr.Prince Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

Mr.Amit Singh Sethi, Advocate for respondent Nos.3 & 4 in
COCP Nos.384 of 2019, 11977, 11979, 3600, 3603, 3604 and
3522 of 2018.

Mr.S.S.Bedi, Advocate

Mr.Sarthak Gupta, Advocate

for respondent Nos.3 and 4 in COCP Nos.653, 656, 658, 659,
660, 661, 662, 671 of 2019 and for respondent Nos.3, 4 and 5 in
COCP No.385 of 2019.

Mr.Aman Sharma, Advocate for respondent Nos.3 and 4 in
COCP No.631, 642, 644, 654, 663, 664 of 2019 and 3600 of
2018.

.....

NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (ORAL)

This order shall dispose of COCP Nos.3294, 3297, 3313, 3522,
3563, 3600, 3603, 3604 , 11977, 11979 of 2018, 384, 385, 387, 388, 389,
437, 631, 642, 644, 653, 654, 656, 658, 659, 660, 661, 662, 663, 664, 671,
1418 and 1428 of 2019 as common question of law and facts are involved
therein.

It is not disputed that the order has since been complied with
and the payment has been received.

In view of the same, all the contempt petitions are dismissed.

Rule issued against the respondents is discharged.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner states that interest has not been paid.

If it is so, the petitioner(s) may avail the remedy as available to it under the law for claim of the interest.

May 16, 2019
meenuss

(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |