

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM No.25611-CII of 2019 in/and
CR No.7959 of 2019(O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.12.2019**

Rekha Rani and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

Roshan Lal

.....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present:Mr. Nitin Jain, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Ekta Thakur, Advocate
for the respondent.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

CM No.25611-CII of 2019

This is an application for preponing the date of hearing and for disposal of the revision petition in view of consensus given by the applicant/respondent. Notice of motion was issued on 10.12.2019 on the premise that even if, in view of stand taken by the plaintiff in para No.7 of the reply to the application under Order 26 Rule 10-A CPC, adverse inference can be

drawn for declining to give specimen signature, but the defendant has a right to get the comparison of the signatures appearing on Exs.RW4/A and RW4/B with the admitted signatures of the plaintiff on the plaint and power of attorney while leading his affirmative defence evidence. Learned counsel for the applicant/respondent accepted the notice on 10.12.2019 and now by filing the present application, learned counsel for the applicant/respondent states that the order dated 10.12.2019 be made absolute and normal consequences be allowed to follow before the trial Court.

In view of consensus arrived at between the parties, date of hearing is preponed and main case is taken up today.

CR No.7959 of 2019(O&M)

In the light of observations made hereinabove, the present revision petition stands disposed of.

18.12.2019

Prince

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No