

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-25385-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 07.02.2019

Gurmit Singh Rana @ Gurmeet Singh Palahi

...Petitioner

Versus

Regional Passport Officer and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Mayank Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Sudhir Nar, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl.A.G., Punjab,
for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN J. (ORAL)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to renew/issue passport to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.1, to treat the instant petition as representation and decide the same expeditiously.

Learned counsel for the respondents are not averse to the suggestion put forth.

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1-

Regional Passport Officer, Jalandhar, to treat the instant petition as representation and decide the same within four weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him forthwith. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

07.02.2019
atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No