

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.53958 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.03.2019

Khushpal Rai
..... Petitioner
Versus
State of Punjab
..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.157 dated 17.09.2018, under Section 306 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station GRP, Govt. Railway Police, Amritsar, District Amritsar.

This Court, on the previous date of hearing i.e. 18.12.2018, granted interim bail to the petitioner. The relevant part of the order reads as under:-

“ xxxxxxxx
It is jointly stated by learned Counsel for the petitioner as well as complaint that the matter has been compromised.
Parties seek time to take further recourse in the matter.
Adjourned to 18.02.2019.
In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail till the next date of hearing, in the present case, on his furnishing

adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

It is contended by learned Counsel for the petitioner that in pursuance of the above order, petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is no longer required.

The aforesaid factual position is duly acknowledged by learned State Counsel, on instructions from SI Ashok Kumar.

In view of above, interim order dated 18.12.2018 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. and the present petition stands disposed off.

It is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The observations may not be considered as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

March 18, 2019

Gagan

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No