

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP NO. 35822 OF 2019
DATE OF DECISION : 10.12.2019**

Gurpreet Singh and others

...Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present : Mr. Neeraj Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. P. S. Bajwa, Addl. AG, Punjab.

ARUN MONGA, J.(ORAL)

By way of instant petition, the petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant them benefits in terms of judgment dated 26.04.2018 contained at Annexure P-7, whereby employees situated similar to petitioners were granted the corresponding revised pay scale of ₹160-400 (Category V) from time to time on the basis of qualification of Matric with two years ITI and one/two years apprenticeship training, with all consequential benefits. The petitioner also caused Legal Notice dated 06.08.2018 (Annexure P-8) but the same has not been adverted to till date by the respondents. Hence, the writ petition.

2. Notice of motion.

3. On advance service of copy of petition, Mr. P. S. Bajwa, Additional Advocate General, Punjab has put in appearance on behalf of respondent-State.

4. Given the nature of order being passed, there is no necessity to seek return by any of the respondents as no further proceedings and/or pleadings are required.

5. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to objectively consider the Legal Notice dated 06.08.2018 (Annexure P-8) and also by keeping in view the contentions stated in the present writ petition by treating it as a supplementary representation and pass a speaking order, in accordance with law.

6. Let the needful be done within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

7. Disposed of in above terms.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

DECEMBER 10, 2019
shalini

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No