

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No. 1812 of 2019
Date of Decision:-09.12.2019

Sunny ... Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

**Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan
Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Archana Puri**

Present:- Mr. Randeep S. Dhull, Advocate for the petitioner.

-

Jitendra Chauhan,J.(Oral)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents to consider and decide the parole case of the petitioner to enable him to repair his house.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2- the Commissioner, Faridabad Division Faridabad, District Faridabad to consider and decide the case of the petitioner for grant of parole.

Heard.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- the Commissioner, Faridabad Division Faridabad, District Faridabad to consider and decide the case of the petitioner considering the judgment dated 08.08.2018 (Annexure P-2) passed in CWP No. 1980 of 2018 titled as Sanjay Vs. State of Haryana and others and Government policy dated 09.10.2014 (Annexure P-3), within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent

authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

(ARCHANA PURI)
JUDGE

09.12.2019
manoj

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No