

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.36181 of 2019
Date of decision: 13.12.2019

Sardar Singh and another	Versus	Petitioners
State of Haryana and others		...Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr.Bishan Dass Rana, Advocate for the petitioners.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the writ petition is for the issuance of a writ of Mandamus for directing the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners for regularization w.e.f. 01.04.2011 instead of on different dates in the year 2014.

2. Learned counsel contends that juniors of the petitioners who were appointed later than the petitioners were regularized w.e.f. 1.4.2011 vide order Annexure P-4 dated 18.07.2017 and despite the petitioners having submitted a representation followed by a legal notice Annexure P-5 dated 21.10.2019 praying for the same relief as has been granted to their juniors, the claim made therein has not been decided till date and that in the circumstances, the petitioners would be satisfied, if the writ petition is disposed of, at this stage, by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim made in the legal notice Annexure P-5 dated 21.10.2019 in accordance with law in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and states that he has no objection to the limited prayer of the petitioner.

5. Without commenting on the merits of the claim or the entitlement of the petitioners to the relief claimed, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim made in the legal notice Annexure P-5 dated 21.10.2019 in accordance with law after taking into account all aspects of the matter within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

December 13, 2019
ps

(B.S. WALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No