

**In The High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
At Chandigarh**

CRM-M-53302-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 18.12.2019

Sandeep ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Navneet Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Singh Choudhary, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner-Sandeep has approached this Court seeking grant of regular bail in a case registered vide FIR No.135, dated 5.4.2019, registered at Police Station Ganaur, Tehsil Sonipat, District Sonipat, under Section 306 IPC.
2. The FIR was lodged at the instance of Mukesh wife of Anand wherein it has been stated that petitioner (Sandeep) had been troubling his daughter Preeti aged 19 years since the last about one year. It is alleged that on 3.4.2019, at about 8.15 am. the aforesaid Sandeep while lying to his daughter took her away from her college. Immediately when the complainant came to know about the same, he called the petitioner on telephone, upon which the petitioner after leaving complainant's daughter at Namaste Chowk, Ganaur ran away

from the spot from where the complainant brought back his daughter who was crying and was saying that Sandeep had ruined her life. It is alleged that on 5.4.2019 at about 5 pm, her daughter ended her life by hanging herself from a fan in their residence.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he has falsely been implicated in the present case and that even if the allegations as levelled in the FIR are taken to be correct, it is apparent that complainant's daughter had returned back on the very day when she is alleged to have been taken away by the petitioner and it was after 2 days i.e. on 5.4.2019 that she committed suicide in her own residence. It has been submitted that there is nothing to show that the petitioner had abetted the commission of suicide and in these circumstances the petitioner deserves the concession of bail.
4. The learned State counsel while opposing the petition has submitted that since specific allegations have been levelled in the FIR wherein it has been alleged that the petitioner had been harassing complainant's daughter, no case for grant of bail is made out. It has however been informed that the petitioner has been behind bars since the last about 8 months and till date only 2 out of the cited 14 PWs have been examined.
5. Having considered rival submissions addressed before this Court and while refraining from making any expression as regards merits of the case and while keeping in view that conclusion of trial is likely to take some time as despite the fact that the petitioner has been behind bars since the last 8 months only 2 out of the cited 14 PWs have been

examined till date, further detention of the petitioner will not serve any useful purpose. The petition, as such, is accepted and petitioner-Sandeep is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

December 18, 2019

mohan

**(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking /reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No