

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-23219-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: September 12, 2019**

Lokpriya Vashisht and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI,
CHIEF JUSTICE.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE.**

Present: Mr. Jawahar Lal Goyal, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, AAG, Haryana.
Mr. Arun Gosain, Sr. Panel Counsel, UOI.
Mr. Naveen Chopra, Advocate, for respondent Nos. 2 & 6.
Mr. Arun K. Bakshi, Advocate, for respondent No. 4.

KRISHNA MURARI, C.J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners very fairly concedes that the issue raised in this petition for adjudication stands squarely covered by the Division Bench judgment of this Court rendered in **Kuldeep v. State of Haryana and others, 2017 (3) SCT 337.**

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, does not dispute this fact.

In view of above undisputed position, this petition stands disposed of in terms of the Division Bench judgment of this Court in the case of **Kuldeep (supra).**

**(KRISHNA MURARI)
CHIEF JUSTICE**

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**

September 12, 2019

Pk Kapoor

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES / NO

Whether Reportable: YES / NO