

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.51919-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 24.01.2019

Gulshan Pahwa

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: G.S.Madaan, Advocate for the petitioner
Ms.Sunint Kaur, AAG Punjab

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (Oral)

Heard.

Petitioner was one of the loanee who took loan of Rs.17 lakhs from IDBI Bank on 10.5.2007. When the petitioner stopped paying installments, the bank checked the documents and found that the documents which were furnished as security are forged. Reply of the State was obtained. State has submitted the report that the security of mortgaged fictitious plot measuring 250 square yards. Copies of khasra No.44/11/2-12, Khasta No.506, 519 situated at village Phullanwal were furnished. Sale deed shown to have been executed by one Sham Singh, was found to be forged as some other person impersonated as Sham Singh. It was also found that property even does not belong to seller Sham Singh and was in the ownership of somebody else. Even though the bank woke up many

years late to find out the forged, however, the fact is that the forged documents were submitted to the bank to obtain loan. It is not a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail.

Resultantly, the present petition stands dismissed.

24.01.2019

gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned:

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No