

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-30249-2018

Date of decision: 17.1.2019

Shiv Ram and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of direction to the official respondents to take action against respondent No.5 in view of Section 22-A of the Haryana Municipal Act, 1973 and also for conducting enquiry in the matter.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No. 2- Director, Urban Local Bodies, Haryana to consider and decide the representation Annexure P-19 expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr.Vikrant Pamboo, DAG, Haryana, in the Court today.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.

2- Director, Urban Local Bodies, Haryana to consider and decide the

representation Annexure P-19 within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment after giving an effective opportunity of hearing to the petitioners. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

17.1.2019
gsv

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No