

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

109

CWP No. 22765 of 2018 (O & M)

Date of Decision: 14.03.2019

Gurdas Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present: Mr. Surinder Garg, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. I.P.S. Doabia, Addl. AG, Punjab.

Mr. Arundeeep, Advocate for
Mr. R. S. Pandher, Advocate
for respondent No.4 - Gram Panchayat.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (ORAL)

Rule heard forthwith.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

By way of the present petition, learned counsel for the petitioner has restricted the relief to the extent of amalgamation of two Gram Panchayats into one in view of Section 3 of the Punjab Panchayati Raj Act. It is not in dispute, which is clear from hearing of all the rival parties, that there is no order passed by the competent authority and after hearing the parties, passing result order in accordance with the dicta laid down in the case of **Punjab Pradesh Congress Committee versus State of Punjab and others**, 2013 (3) RCR (Civil) 1023 (DB) by a Division Bench of this

In our opinion and looking into the directions issued in the said judgment, it would be appropriate for the competent authority to hear all the concerned parties and also call the records of the concerned officials and thereafter pass a speaking order within a period of six months from today.

In that view of the matter, the petition is disposed of with the the above direction.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

March 14, 2019

A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No