

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.W.P. No. 36144 of 2019

Date of Decision: 13th December, 2019

Sunil Yadav & Anr.

...Petitioners

Versus

The Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Gurugram
through its Chairman

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY
HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present: Mr.Anshu Chaudhary, Advocate, for
Mr.Himanshu Raj, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

DAYA CHAUDHARY (ORAL)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners has restricted his prayer to the extent that representation dated 15.10.2019 (Annexure P-2) is pending before the respondent but no action has been taken so far.

Learned counsel submits that the petitioners would be satisfied in case the directions are issued to the respondent to take action on the pending representation dated 15.10.2019 (Annexure P-2).

Accordingly, keeping in view the limited prayer and without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent to consider the pending representation dated 15.10.2019 (Annexure P-2) and take necessary action in accordance with

law within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of order and pass necessary order in accordance with law.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

13.12.2019.
seema

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No