

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.102

CRM-M-51486-2019
Date of decision : 4.12.2019

Sajan Kumar

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. A.S. Bhatti, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case as he was an accused in two other similar cases, in which trial is pending. The petitioner was not arrested on the spot and thus, it is clear that he was not involved in incident of snatching.

The name of the petitioner is specifically mentioned in the FIR, which was registered on the basis of secret information. Merely because of non-apprehension of the petitioner at the spot, it cannot be concluded that he has been falsely implicated. Admittedly, there are two other cases of similar nature pending against the petitioner. Under the circumstances, there are no valid grounds for granting relief of anticipatory bail.

The petition is dismissed.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

4.12.2019

Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes/ No