

**In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
At Chandigarh**

CRM-M-51495-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-9.12.2019

Pardeep Singh @ Pappu

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Surinder Singh Duhan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Singh Choudhary, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana,
assisted by SI Raj Kumar.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

1. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of regular bail in respect of a case registered vide FIR No.628 dated 17.9.2019 at Police Station Hansi, District Hansi under Sections 354 (1)(i) and 452 of Indian Penal Code, Section 3 of Scheduled Casts and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 and Section 8 of POCSO Act.
2. Ms. Pooja Arora, Advocate has today put in appearance on behalf of the complainant and has filed *Vakalatnama*, which is taken on record.
3. The FIR was registered at the instance of victim aged 13½ years, wherein it has been alleged that Pardeep @ Pappu (petitioner) used to roam in her neighbourhood and that on 16.9.2019 when the complainant was alone at

home, the said Pardeep @ Pappu entered her house and tried to give currency note of denomination of ₹500/- to her forcibly and asked her to accompany him and caught hold of her arm and started misbehaving with her. When the complainant raised alarm her neighbour was attracted at the spot and rescued the victim.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the FIR came to be lodged due to some misunderstanding which has now been resolved and the parties have entered into a compromise.
5. The learned counsel representing the complainant has admitted the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise.
6. The learned State counsel, on the other hand, has submitted that upon investigation the allegations were found to be *prima facie* substantiated and that challan has been filed.
7. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and while bearing in mind that the petitioner has been behind bars since the last about three months and challan already stands presented and the parties seem to have entered into some sort of compromise, further detention of the petitioner will not serve any useful purpose as conclusion of trial is likely to take some time. The petition, as such, is accepted and it is ordered that the petitioner be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

9.12.2019

pankaj

(Gurvinder Singh Gill)
Judge

Whether speaking /reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No