

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

206

CRM-M-51604-2019

Date of decision : 16.12.2019

Raseela Ram and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.. Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present : Mr.S.S.Duhan, Advocate
for the petitioners.
Mr.Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.
Mr.Munish Kumar Garg, Advocate for the complainant.

Rajbir Sehrawat, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Cr.P.C for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 236 dated 27.08.2019, registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC, at Police Station City Narwana, District Jind.

Learned State counsel, being instructed by ASI-Dilbagh Singh, submits that participation of the petitioners in the process of fabrication of the document, on which the signatures of the petitioners were affixed, is duly reflecting from the record.

Learned counsel for the complainant has also pointed out that the daughter of the petitioners had, in fact, got allotted a quarter at army station despite the fact that the complainant was not even posted at that place. This exercise was also carried out by the daughter of the petitioners; in conspiracy and connivance with the petitioners; by fabricating the signatures of the complainant. Not only this, despite claiming amicable settlement of the dispute, the complainant was even

got arrested on return by making another complaint against him when he

was posted in South Africa.

In view of the said intricate detailed allegations involved in this case, this court does not find it to be a case fit to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Otherwise also, if the petitioners are protected against their arrest, the investigation of the case would be adversely affected.

In view of the above, there is no merit in the petition.

Dismissed.

16.12.2019
sd

[RAJBIR SEHRAWAT]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

: Yes/No
: Yes/No