

(135) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.35189 of 2019
Date of decision: 03.12.2019

Manjeet ... Petitioner

Versus

HSSC and anr. Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Deepak Sonak, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. WALIA, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the writ petition is for the issuance of a writ of mandamus for directing respondent No.1 to consider and decide the representation, Annexure P-7 dated 05.03.2018 for consideration of the claim of the petitioner for appointment to the post of Heavy Vehicle Driver against advertisement No.4/2007 dated 28.06.2017 (Category No.1), (Annexure P-1).

[2] Learned counsel contends that the petitioner who fulfills all eligibility conditions applied for the post of Heavy Vehicle Driver in respect to Advt. No.4/2017 (Annexure P-1) under the BCA Category and qualified the written test as also the driving proficiency test but was not called for interview despite candidates with lesser marks than the petitioner in the written test as also driving proficiency test were called for interview.

[3] Learned counsel states that the petitioner has submitted representation, Annexure P-7 dated 05.03.2018 qua his grievance as is the subject matter of the writ petition but the same has not been decided till date.

Learned counsel states that the petitioner would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of at this stage by directing respondent No.1 to consider and decide the representation, Annexure P-7 dated 05.03.2018 in accordance with law in a time bound manner.

[5] Without commenting on the merits of the claim or the entitlement of the petitioner to the relief claimed, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.1 to consider and decide the representation, Annexure P-7 dated 05.03.2018 in accordance with law within three months of the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

03.12.2019
amit

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No.