

Meena and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Jogendra Singh Pundir, Advocate
for the petitioners**Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)**

Pursuant to order dated 26.11.2019, learned counsel for the petitioner has handed over certificate dated 29.11.2019 issued by the Employer of petitioner No. 2, according to which the said petitioner is working in his concern from the last one year.

The petitioners seek protection of their life and liberty as they have allegedly performed marriage against the wishes of their parents. Despite representation dated 24.11.2019 (Annexure P-5) having been filed before respondent No. 2, no action has been taken by the police.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3. Three copies of the paper book be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Considering the nature of the order being passed there is no necessity to serve the private respondents or to seek a reply from any of the respondents.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to take into consideration the request of the petitioners made vide representation dated 24.11.2019 (Annexure P-5) and to provide necessary protection in case the facts of the case so dictate.

It is made clear that this order is not a bar on initiation of any proceedings in accordance with law nor is it an expression of opinion regarding the validity or otherwise of the marriage.

December 02, 2019

reena

**[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No