

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.240

CRWP-1386 of 2019

DECIDED ON: December 06, 2019

SUNITA RANI AND ANR.

..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Ms. Ekta Rani, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana, for respondents No.1 to 3.
Mr. Dalbir Singh, Advocate, for respondents No.5 & 6.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners allege that they have performed their marriage against the wishes of their parents and thus, they fear for their lives and liberty. A representation dated 23.09.2019 (Annexure P-5) has been submitted to respondent No.2 but he has not taken any action thereupon.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take into consideration the request of the petitioners made vide representation dated 23.09.2019 (Annexure P-5) as well as any subsequent representation that may also be given and to provide necessary protection if facts of the case so dictate.

It is made clear that this order is not a bar on initiation of any proceedings in accordance with law nor is it an expression of opinion regarding the validity or otherwise of the marriage.

December 06, 2019

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No