

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**FAO No. 7112 of 2019(O&M)**

**Date of decision: 12.12.2019**

Parvati and another

.....Appellants

VERSUS

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL**

Present: Mr. Narender Kaajla, Advocate for the appellants.

\*\*\*\*\*

**REKHA MITTAL, J.(Oral)**

**CM No.25371-CII of 2019**

Prayer in this application is for condoning delay of 354 days in filing the appeal.

Heard.

In view of averments made in the application supported by an affidavit of Parvati, appellant No.1, the application is allowed. Delay of 354 days in filing the appeal stands condoned.

Disposed of accordingly.

**FAO No.7112 of 2019**

Heard.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Karminder Singh, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1.

The present appeal has been directed against award dated 11.09.2018 passed by the Railway Claims Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench,

Chandigarh (in short “the Tribunal”), whereby 50% of compensation awarded to claimant Nos.1 and 5 namely Parvati and Sundi Devi has been ordered to be paid in cash and the rest was ordered to be invested in Bank in fixed deposits.

The precise question involved in this case is whether the Tribunal has rightly ordered for investing of compensation allowed to claimant(s)/appellants in fixed deposit or otherwise.

The controversy raised in the present appeal is squarely covered by judgment of this court in **Amarjeet Singh and another vs.Union of India through General Manager FAO No. 2037 of 2019 and connected cases decided on 4.9.2019.**

Disposed of in the same terms.

**DECEMBER 12, 2019**

‘D. Gulati’

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Whether reportable :

**(REKHA MITTAL)**

**JUDGE**

yes/no

yes/no