

(122) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.35429 of 2019

Date of decision: 06.12.2019

Sanjay Kumar ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ... Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Vikas Sonak, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. WALIA, J. (ORAL)

[1] Learned counsel contends that the claim of the petitioner is for regularization of services of the petitioner as per regularization policy, Annexure P-2 dated 01.10.2003 and that although the persons junior to the petitioner have been regularized vide order, Annexure P-5 dated 06.07.2018 and Annexure P-6 dated 07.09.2015, the claim of the petitioner has not been considered despite legal notice, Annexure P-7 dated 20.07.2019.

[2] Learned counsel states that the petitioner would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim made in the legal notice, Annexure P-7 dated 20.07.2019 in accordance with law in a time bound manner.

[3] Notice of motion.

[4] Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice and states that he has no objection to the limited prayer of the learned counsel for the petitioner.

[5] Without commenting on the merits of the claim or the entitlement of the petitioner to the relief claimed, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the legal notice, Annexure P-7 dated 20.07.2019 in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

06.12.2019
amit

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No.