

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 35256 of 2019

Date of decision : 10.12.2019

Rajesh Gaur and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present : Mr. Sachin Mittal, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A. G., Haryana.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Petitioners have approached this Court by way of filing the present writ petition for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to allot plots to them under the Outstee quota on account of acquisition of their land, in view of the policy of the State Government.

The grievance of the petitioners in the present petition is that they filed objections to the notification issued under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, but neither their objections were considered nor they were heard, whereas, as per policy dated 07.12.2007, they were entitled for plots.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the objections were filed against the proper receipt, but presently he is not having any copy thereof. He further submits that the petitioners would be satisfied in case

their objections filed with the respondent-HUDA are considered and necessary action is taken in view of the policy of Oustee quota plots. Learned counsel also submits that even the petitioners are ready to file their objections afresh, so that their claim be considered like other similarly situated persons in view of the provisions of the policy.

On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that objections were not found in the record and in absence thereof, no order was passed. However, he submits that he has no objection in case the petitioners submit any representation by way of raising objections.

By considering the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and by taking into consideration the limited request made by learned counsel for the petitioners, the present petition stands disposed of with the direction to the respondent-HUDA that in case the petitioners file any representation within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, the same be considered and necessary action be taken thereupon in accordance with the law and policy applicable to their case and necessary orders be passed after hearing the petitioners or their representative, within a period of six weeks thereafter.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Dated : 10.12.2019

sunil yadav

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No