

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-51811 of 2019

Date of Decision: 06.12.2019

Reet Sidhu

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*\*

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

In respect of her grievance, the petitioner is stated to have made a representation/complaint to the DGP, Punjab, with learned counsel submitting that in fact the petitioner had been duly fulfilling her part of the contract as regards all persons who approached her firm for the purpose of obtaining student visas and it is only in those cases where those persons for any reason such as marriage and pregnancy etc. could not themselves go abroad, complaints have been made, to try and get the money refunded as was paid by them.

Without making any comment whatsoever on the correctness of that statement or otherwise, this petition is disposed with a direction to the Inspector General of Police, Rupnagar Range, to hear the petitioner and to take action as is warranted as per law, within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

It is made again clear that if in the case of any complaint there is

any order by a competent court for any particular action to be taken, the order being passed in this petition shall not hamper proceedings as regards those orders passed by any competent court.

In other words, whether what it is contended by the petitioner is correct or not is not something which is not being gone into by this court, and would be looked into by the Inspector General of Police himself, upon any enquiry that he considers necessary to be conducted.

**December 06, 2019**  
**dinesh/nitin**

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

|                           |     |
|---------------------------|-----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes |
| Whether Reportable        | No. |