

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-35085-2019

Date of Decision:-02.12.2019.

Vijay Saini and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: Mr. D.N. Ganeriwala, Advocate for the petitioners.

SANJAY KUMAR, J. (Oral)

The complaint of the petitioners, 41 in number, is that their complaint-cum-legal notice dated 09.10.2019 (Annexure P-1) is not being acted upon by the State Registrar of Societies, Haryana.

Admittedly, the aforestated complaint-cum-legal notice required the Registrar to inquire and take appropriate action under Section 54 of the Haryana Registration and Regulation of Societies Act, 2012. Perusal of the aforestated statutory provision demonstrates that it is only upon an application made by either the majority of the office bearers or not less than 1/3rd of the members of the general body of the Society that the Registrar is required to take action.

Mr. D.N. Ganeriwala, learned counsel for the petitioners, fairly concedes that the strength of the Society in the case on hand is over 200 members. Therefore, the 41 members, the petitioners herein, at whose

behest the complaint-cum-legal notice dated 09.10.2019 (Annexure P-1) was addressed to the State Registrar of Societies, Haryana, do not constitute the required minimum to seek initiation of action under the regime of Section 54 aforestated. That being so, the petitioners have no cause to complain of inaction on the part of the Registrar.

The writ petition is utterly misconceived and is accordingly dismissed.

No order as to costs.

(SANJAY KUMAR)
JUDGE

December 02, 2019.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.