

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

223

CRM-M-54749-2018 (O & M)
Date of Decision: 22.05.2019

VISHAL

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. S.S. Nara, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate for the complainant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No. 62, dated 31.03.2018, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 325, 307, 341 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Chhachhrauli, District Yamunanagar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and is in custody for over a year. The trial is being delayed as no witness has been examined.

Learned State counsel upon instructions from ASI Subhash Chander, states that the petitioner was arraigned as an accused on the disclosure statement of co-accused Rohit, who had specifically stated that the petitioner was the person who was driving the car which had hit the injured and the accused had also escaped in the car. The injured Amanpreet is in coma since the day of the incident. He also contends that the petitioner is involved in

two other cases of similar nature i.e. case FIR No.93 dated 27.02.2014 under Sections 323 and 506 IPC and FIR No.187 dated 02.08.2014 under Section 323 IPC.

Learned counsel for the complainant has also contended that the petitioner was the main accused and he actively participated in the incident. He further contends that the petitioner was driving the car which had hit the injured and after he had fallen down, the petitioner along with others had given him more injuries.

Heard.

The petitioner is alleged to have actively participated in the occurrence and had hit Amanpreet, who is in coma ever since the day of incident and the petitioner is also stated to be involved in two other cases as well, I do not find it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner at this stage.

The petition is dismissed at this stage.

However, the trial Court is directed to conclude the trial expeditiously.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

May 22, 2019
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No