

205
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
2019.12.18 17:26
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRM-M-51438-2019
Date of decision : 13.12.2019

Kamal Bhatia

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate
for petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Girdhar, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Gaurav Gupta, Advocate
for complainant.

-. -

-. -

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 0010, dated 7.1.2019, registered under Sections 419,420,406,467,468,471,120B IPC Police Station Kotwali, Faridabad, District Faridabad.

The case has been lodged on the complaint of one Adesh Yadav with the allegations that petitioner sold him plot No. 529, Type B, Nehru Ground measuring 35 square yards, for a sum of Rs. 21,70,000/-, vide registered sale deed dated 10.7.2013. The petitioner at the time of selling the plot claimed to be its owner in terms of sale deed dated 8.1.2010 executed in his favour by Murlidhar Gandhi, original owner of plot. When the complainant visited the plot, he came to know that some Rajesh Mittal has started construction. On enquiry, Rajesh Mittal informed the complainant that sale deed dated 8.1.2010 in the name of petitioner was already cancelled. The petitioner himself in a civil suit instituted by legal heirs of Murlidhar Gandhi had compromised with them and got the sale deed cancelled. Accordingly, the allegation against petitioner is that on the basis of forged and fabricated sale deed, he has cheated the complainant and

grabbed Rs. 21,70,000/-.

CRM-M-51438-2019

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
2019.12.18 17:26
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
-2-

In view of nature of allegations, no ground is made out to grant anticipatory bail to petitioner. Hence, petition is dismissed.

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

13.12.2019

sjks

Whether reasoned order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No