

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No. 1403 of 2019

Date of Decision:-03.12.2019

Dharampal alias Sanju

... Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan
 Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Archana Puri**

Present:- Mr. Randeep S. Dhull, Advocate
 for the petitioner..

-

Jitendra Chauhan,J.(Oral)

This criminal writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India read with Section 3 sub section (1) (c) and Section 2 (c) of the Haryana Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Act, 1988 is for issuing writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent/State to grant agriculture parole to the petitioner for a period of six weeks.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No. 2-the Commissioner, Hisar Division Hisar, to consider and decide the case of the petitioner lying pending with him.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr. Vivek Saini, learned DAG, Haryana today in the Court.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the present case, respondent No. 2-the Commissioner, Hisar Division Hisar is

directed to consider and decide the case of the petitioner in the light of the ratio of law laid down by this Court in CWP-1980-2018 titled as '**Sanjay Vs. State of Haryana**', decided on 08.08.2018 (Annexure P-2) and guidelines of the State (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this judgment. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

(ARCHANA PURI)
JUDGE

03.12.2019
manoj

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No