

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP NO. 35095 OF 2019
DATE OF DECISION : 03.12.2019**

Suresh Verma

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

AND

CWP NO. 35096 OF 2019

Amandeep Singh

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

AND

CWP NO. 35097 OF 2019

Sanjay Vyas

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

AND

CWP NO. 35098 OF 2019

Vineet Kumar

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

AND

CWP NO. 35100 OF 2019

Anuj Kaushal

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

AND

CWP NO. 35103 OF 2019

Amit Bansal

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

AND

CWP NO. 35104 OF 2019

Balwant Singh

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

AND

CWP NO. 35105 OF 2019

Sudhir Marwah

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

AND

CWP NO. 35106 OF 2019

Heera Lal

...Petitioner

versus

CWP NO. 35095 OF 2019

-3-

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

AND

CWP NO. 35107 OF 2019

Himanshu Bhatnagar

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

AND

CWP NO. 35108 OF 2019

Kirti Kumar

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

AND

CWP NO. 35109 OF 2019

Moolraj

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

AND

CWP NO. 35110 OF 2019

Charanjit Singh

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CWP NO. 35095 OF 2019

-4-

AND

CWP NO. 35111 OF 2019

Balwant Singh

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

AND

CWP NO. 35112 OF 2019

Harbant Singh

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

AND

CWP NO. 35116 OF 2019

Bhajan Singh

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

AND

CWP NO. 35117 OF 2019

Som Nath

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

AND

CWP NO. 35118 OF 2019

Gurbachan Lal

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

AND

CWP NO. 35120 OF 2019

Gurinder Kumar

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

AND

CWP NO. 35121 OF 2019

Ravneet Kaur

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

AND

CWP NO. 35128 OF 2019

Jaswinder Singh

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

AND

CWP NO. 35129 OF 2019

Sonam Bali

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

AND

CWP NO. 35175 OF 2019

Balwant Singh

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

AND

CWP NO. 35181 OF 2019

Pawan Sharma

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

AND

CWP NO. 35187 OF 2019

Tilak Raj

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

AND

CWP NO. 35190 OF 2019

Subhaga Devi

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

AND

CWP NO. 35192 OF 2019

Jit Ram

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present : Mr. Ashish Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. P. S. Bajwa, Addl. AG, Punjab and
Ms. Monica Chhibber Sharma, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

ARUN MONGA, J.(ORAL)

Vide this common order, above mentioned bunch of petitions are being disposed of as similar relief based on common facts involved therein has been sought. For reference facts are being taken from CWP No. 35095 of 2019.

2. The petitioner joined service as Patrolman on 01.06.1996, but was later assigned the work of Bill Clerk, due to shortage of staff and has been working as such, with effect from 01.01.2001. Meanwhile, services of the petitioner were regularized as Patrolman with effect from 24.05.2011. Subsequent thereto, Superintending Engineer, vide its letter dated 03.12.2014, recommended the promotion of petitioner as

Bill Clerk, keeping in view that he has been working as such for long period of time, though due to shortage of staff. The petitioner is aggrieved as to why apart from not being regularized/promoted to the post of Bill Clerk, he has not been paid the salary equivalent to the post held by him. The petitioner also relies on decision rendered by this Court in CWP No. 8739 of 2000, wherein similarly situated employees had approached this Court and pursuant thereto, he was granted minimum pay-scale and dearness allowance of the post of Bill Clerk, as prescribed under the Rules.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On advance service of copy of petition, Mr. P. S. Bajwa, Additional Advocate General, Punjab and Ms. Monica Chhibber Sharma, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, have put in appearance on behalf of respondent-State.

5. Given the nature of order being passed, there is no necessity to seek return by any of the respondents as no further proceedings and/or pleadings are required.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, all the above mentioned writ petitions are disposed of with a direction to the respondent(s) to objectively consider the representation(s) dated 01.01.2019 (Annexure P-1) and also by keeping in view the contentions stated in the present writ petition by treating it as a supplementary representation and pass a speaking order, in accordance with law. The competent authority of the respondents

is at liberty to pass common order qua all these petitioners.

7. Let the needful be done within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.
8. All the writ petitions stand disposed of in above terms.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

DECEMBER 03, 2019
shalini

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No