

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-51040 of 2019.

Decided on:- December 06, 2019.

Rajinder Kumar Hargun.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab.

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present:- Mr. D.R. Punia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhbeer Singh, A.A.G., Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has filed present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. to grant him regular bail in FIR No.178 dated 24.08.2019 under Section 509 IPC and Section 67(a) of the Information Technology Act, 2000 (for short, the I.T. Act) registered at Goraya, District Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that as per Section 67(a) of the I.T. Act, the maximum sentence is upto 5 years and fine which may extend upto Rs.10 lakh. The petitioner is in custody since 09.10.2019 and the trial is not likely to be concluded in near future.

Learned State counsel does not dispute the custody. He submits that the Challan has been presented in the case and the allegation against the petitioner is that he had sent an obscene video-clip to the complainant.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 09.10.2019 and the conclusion of trial will take sufficient long time, this Court finds that the petitioner deserves to be admitted on bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court.

The observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case without being influenced with these observations in any manner.

December 06, 2019
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No