

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-51183-2019

Date of Decision: 10.12.2019

Kawalpreet Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Deepinder Brar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S. Sewak, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Though learned State counsel submits that the allegation against the petitioner being that he was carrying a .315 bore rifle in the occurrence, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as a matter of fact the entire reading of either the first FIR registered at the instance of those who are stated to be the petitioners' companions, and the FIR registered against such companions, the petitioners' name does not figure anywhere, with no weapon having been recovered from him by the police.

He and learned State counsel both submit that the petitioner was named as an accused on the disclosure statement made by one Manpreet Singh, to the effect that the petitioner was present on the spot with rifle.

That being so, with the *challan* already having been presented to court and the trial obviously likely to take a long time, no prosecution witness having been examined so far, in the aforesaid circumstances, without making any

comment on the actual merits of the case, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released to bail upon furnishing his adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial court.

December 10, 2019
dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No.