

232

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-51334 of 2019**

**Date of decision: December 12, 2019**

Amandeep Singh @ Aman

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**

**Present:** Mr. J.S. Jaidka, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Senior DAG Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J**

Present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of bail pending trial to the petitioner, in FIR No.69 dated 14.04.2016, under Sections 399, 402 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Shimlapuri, District Ludhiana.

This Court, on 09.12.2019, has passed the following order:-

*“It transpires that petitioner was granted the concession of pre arrest bail vide order dated 21.11.2016 in CRM-M-39311-2016 passed by this Court, but despite that he has been arrested on 10.09.2019 in FIR No. 69 dated 14.04.2016, under Sections 399 and 402 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Shimlapuri, Ludhiana.*

*Learned State counsel seeks some time to have*

*instructions in the matter regarding the arrest of the petitioner despite the protection granted by this Court.*

*Adjourned to 12.12.2019.”*

Today, learned State counsel, on instructions from Inspector Parmod Kumar, has candidly acknowledged the fact that the petitioner was granted the concession of pre-arrest bail by this Court, on 21.11.2016, but despite that he has been arrested on 10.09.2019.

Although, this Court was inclined to take to the task the concerned police officer(s), but since learned State counsel, at the very outset, has acknowledged the mistake on their part; therefore, without going further into the matter, this Court deems it appropriate to grant bail pending trial to the petitioner.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, in this case, on his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petitioner shall fully co-operate with learned trial Court without seeking any unnecessary adjournments.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)**  
**JUDGE**

**December 12, 2019**

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No