

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

TA-1094-2019

Date of decision:-2.12.2019

Ram Gopal

...Applicant

Versus

Bhana Mal @ Bhagwan Dass and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN**Present** : Mr.Ankur Jain, Advocate
for the applicant.

H.S. MADAAN, J.

By way of filing the present application, applicant – Ram Gopal seeks transfer of civil suit titled 'Bhana Mal @ Bhagwan Dass & Others Vs. Ram Gopal & Others" pending in the Court of Additional Civil Judge (Sr.Divn.), Mansa to Court of competent jurisdiction any where in Punjab.

According to the applicant, the civil suit in question has been filed by the plaintiffs, who are respondents No.1 to 4 in the present application through counsel Sh.Badri Narain Goyal, Advocate. This very Advocate had represented the applicant with regard to the house which is subject matter of the present suit also. Earlier the suit was compromised and was dismissed as withdrawn in the year 2010. Sh.Badri Narain Goyal, Advocate was briefed regarding the factual

position by the present applicant. No advocate is ready to contest the case on behalf of the applicant. Therefore, the suit be transferred.

I have heard learned counsel for the applicant besides going through the record.

The grouse of the applicant is against a particular Advocate. If the applicant so feels, he can lodge a complaint against the said Advocate to Bar Council of Punjab & Haryana, Chandigarh or Bar Association of Mansa. However, for that very reason, no ground to transfer the suit is made out since there are no allegations of the Presiding Officer being favourably inclined towards the opposite party or getting influenced by Sh.Badri Narain Goyal, Advocate. Even otherwise, no advocate can possibly interfere in judicial proceedings and come in the way of dispensation of justice.

Finding no merit in the application, the same stands dismissed.

2.12.2019
Brij

(H.S.MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No