

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.107

CRM-M-50930-2019

DECIDED ON: December 02, 2019

SURESH SHARMA

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Sahil Khunger, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite a direction issued by the Sessions Court, respondent No.2 has failed to pay a sum of Rs.3.85 lacs within 15 days from the date of passing of the order. He further submits that respondent No.3 was also involved in making the petitioner part with money by illegal inducement.

The arguments of the learned counsel for the petitioner are without substance.

If the averment that respondent No.2 has not made payment in accordance with the directions issued by the Sessions Court, is correct, the order of the Sessions Court is to take effect whereby it has been provided that failure to comply with the order would result in cancellation of the anticipatory bail. So far as respondent No.3 is concerned, there is nothing

on record to indicate that she connived with her husband to dupe the petitioner.

The petition is without any merit and is accordingly dismissed.

December 02, 2019
Ankur

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No