

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

127

CRWP-1244 of 2019

Date of decision:28.11.2019

Vikas Kumar

... Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.G.S.Thind, Advocate,
for the petitioner

...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

In respect of his grievance against respondents no.4 to 9, with respondent no.4 being his wife (and the others stated to be her relatives), the petitioner is seen to have made a representation to the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, on 25.4.2019 (copy Annexure P-1).

Consequently, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, i.e. respondent no.2, to hear the petitioner and to thereafter take a decision on whether any action is warranted on his representation, or not, as per law, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

If the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, comes to a conclusion that no action is required to be taken by the police, he would pass an order giving reasons therein.

28.11.2019

pk

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether Reportable

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)

JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No