

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.111

CRWP-1222 of 2019

DECIDED ON: November 28, 2019

RAMNEET RIMPY AND ANOTHER

..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. P.K. Sehrawat, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Sheoran, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners allege that they have performed their marriage against the wishes of their parents and thus, they fear for their lives and liberty. A representation dated 27.11.2019 (Annexure P-5) has been submitted to respondent No.2 but he has not been taken any action thereupon.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the State of Punjab-respondents No.1 to 3. Three copies of the paper book be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Considering the nature of the order being passed there is no necessity to serve the private respondent or to seek a reply from any one of the respondents.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take into consideration the request of the petitioners made vide

CRWP-1222 of 2019

representation dated 27.11.2019 (Annexure P-5) and to provide necessary protection in case the facts of the case so dictate.

It is made clear that this order is not a bar on initiation of any proceedings in accordance with law nor is it an expression of opinion regarding the validity or otherwise of the marriage.

November 28, 2019
Ankur

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No